

Constants of Motion for Non-Differentiable Quantum Variational Problems*

Jacky Cresson ^a
`jacky.cresson@univ-pau.fr` Gastão S. F. Frederico ^{b,c}
`gfrederico@mat.ua.pt`
 Delfim F. M. Torres ^{a,c}
`delfim@ua.pt`

^a Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées de Pau
 Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour
 Pau, France

^b Department of Science and Technology
 University of Cape Verde
 Praia, Santiago, Cape Verde

^c Centre for Research on Optimization and Control
 Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro
 Aveiro, Portugal

Abstract

We extend the DuBois-Reymond necessary optimality condition and Noether's symmetry theorem to the scale relativity theory setting. Both Lagrangian and Hamiltonian versions of Noether's theorem are proved, covering problems of the calculus of variations with functionals defined on sets of non-differentiable functions, as well as more general non-differentiable problems of optimal control. As an application we obtain constants of motion for some linear and nonlinear variants of the Schrödinger equation.

Keywords: non-differentiability, scale calculus of variations, symmetries, constants of motion, DuBois-Reymond necessary condition, Noether's theorem, Schrödinger equations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K05, 49S05, 26B05, 81Q05.

*Partially presented at the *Fifth Symposium on Nonlinear Analysis* (SNA 2007), Toruń, Poland, September 10-14, 2007. Accepted for publication (19/March/2008), *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, Lecture Notes of the Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies.

1 Introduction

The notion of *symmetry* play an important role both in physics and mathematics. Symmetries are defined as transformations of a certain system, which result in the same object after the transformation is carried out. They are mathematically described by parameter groups of transformations. Their importance range from fundamental and theoretical aspects to concrete applications, having profound implications in the dynamical behavior of the systems, and in their basic qualitative properties (see [17] and references therein).

Constants of motion are another fundamental notion of physics and mathematics. Typically, they are used in the calculus of variations and optimal control to reduce the number of degrees of freedom, thus reducing the problems to a lower dimension and facilitating the integration of the equations given by the necessary optimality conditions (see [6, 15] and references therein).

Emmy Noether was the first to prove, in 1918, that these two notions are connected: when a system exhibits a symmetry, then a constant of motion exists. The celebrated *Noether's theorem* provide an explicit formula for such constants of motion. Since the pioneer work of Emmy Noether, many extensions of the classical results were done both in the calculus of variations setting as well as in more general setting of optimal control (see [5, 7, 8, 9, 16, 18, 19] and references therein). All available versions of Noether's theorem are, however, proved for problems whose admissible functions are differentiable.

In 1992 L. Nottale introduced the theory of scale-relativity without the hypothesis of space-time differentiability [12, 13]. A rigorous foundation to Nottale's scale-relativity theory was recently given by J. Cresson [3, 4]. The calculus of variations developed in [3] cover sets of non differentiable curves, by substituting the classical derivative by a new complex operator, known as the *scale derivative*.

In this work we use the scale Euler-Lagrange equations and respective scale extremals [3], to prove an extension of Noether's theorem for problems of the calculus of variations and optimal control whose admissible functions are non-differentiable (Theorems 25 and 36). The results are proved by first extending the classical DuBois-Reymond necessary optimality condition to the scale calculus of variations (Theorem 24). Illustrative examples are given to Schrödinger equations in the scale framework [1, 2, 3].

2 Quantum Calculus

In this section we briefly review the quantum calculus of [3], which extends the classical differential calculus to non-differentiable functions.

We denote by C^0 the set of real-valued continuous functions defined on \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1. Let $f \in C^0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, the ϵ left- and right-quantum deriva-

tives of f , denoted respectively by $\Delta_\epsilon^+ f(t)$ and $\Delta_\epsilon^- f(t)$, are defined by

$$\Delta_\epsilon^+ f(t) = \frac{f(t + \epsilon) - f(t)}{\epsilon} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\Delta_\epsilon^- f(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(t - \epsilon)}{\epsilon}. \quad (2)$$

Remark 2. The ϵ left- and right-quantum derivative of a continuous function f correspond to the classical derivative of the ϵ -mean function f_ϵ^σ defined by

$$f_\epsilon^\sigma(t) = \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon} \int_t^{t+\sigma\epsilon} f(s) ds,$$

with $\sigma = \pm$.

Next we define an operator which generalize the classical derivative.

Definition 3. Let $f \in C^0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, the ϵ scale derivative of f at point t , denoted by $\frac{\square_\epsilon f}{\square t}(t)$, is defined by

$$\frac{\square_\epsilon f}{\square t}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [(\Delta_\epsilon^+ f(t) + \Delta_\epsilon^- f(t)) - i(\Delta_\epsilon^+ f(t) - \Delta_\epsilon^- f(t))]. \quad (3)$$

Remark 4. If f is differentiable, we can take the limit of the scale derivative when ϵ goes to zero. We then obtain the classical derivative $\frac{df}{dt}(t)$ of f at t .

We also need to extend the scale derivative to complex valued functions.

Definition 5. Let f be a continuous complex valued function. For all $\epsilon > 0$, the ϵ scale derivative of f , denoted by $\frac{\square_\epsilon f}{\square t}$, is defined by

$$\frac{\square_\epsilon f}{\square t}(t) = \frac{\square_\epsilon \operatorname{Re}(f)}{\square t} + i \frac{\square_\epsilon \operatorname{Im}(f)}{\square t}, \quad (4)$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(f)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(f)$ denote the real and imaginary part of f respectively.

In what follows, we will frequently use \square_ϵ to denote the scale derivative operator $\frac{\square_\epsilon f}{\square t}$.

Theorem 6 (cf. [3]). *Let f and g be two C^0 functions. For all $\epsilon > 0$ one has*

$$\square_\epsilon(f \cdot g) = \square_\epsilon f \cdot g + f \cdot \square_\epsilon g + \epsilon i (\square_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \boxplus_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g) \quad (5)$$

where $\boxminus f$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\square f$.

Remark 7. For two differentiable functions f and g , one obtains the classical Leibniz rule $(f \cdot g)' = f' \cdot g + f \cdot g'$ by taking the limit of (5) when ϵ goes to zero.

Remark 8. It is not difficult to prove the following equality:

$$\int_a^b \square_\epsilon f(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \left[(f_\epsilon^+(t) + f_\epsilon^-(t)) - i(f_\epsilon^+(t) - f_\epsilon^-(t)) \right] \Big|_a^b. \quad (6)$$

When ϵ goes to zero, (6) reduces to

$$\int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} f(t) dt = f(t)|_a^b.$$

Definition 9 (α -Hölderian functions). A continuous real valued function f is said to be α -Hölderian, $0 < \alpha < 1$, if for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant c such that $|t - t'| \leq \epsilon$ implies $|f(t) - f(t')| \leq c\epsilon^\alpha$.

We denote by H^α the set of continuous functions which are α -Hölderian.

Theorem 10 (cf. [3]). Let $f(t, x)$ be a C^{n+1} real valued function and $x(t) \in H^{1/n}$, $n \geq 1$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small one has

$$\frac{\square_\epsilon f}{\square t}(t, x(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j f}{\partial x^j}(t, x(t)) \epsilon^{j-1} a_{\epsilon,j}(t) + o(\epsilon^{1/n}) \quad (7)$$

where

$$a_{\epsilon,j}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left((\Delta_+^\epsilon x)^j - (-1)^j (\Delta_-^\epsilon x)^j \right) - i \left((\Delta_+^\epsilon x)^j + (-1)^j (\Delta_-^\epsilon x)^j \right) \right].$$

Lemma 11 is crucial for our purposes (see proof of Theorem 25).

Lemma 11 (cf. [3]). Let $h \in H^\beta$, $\beta \geq \alpha 1_{[1/2, 1]} + (1 - \alpha) 1_{]0, 1/2[}$, satisfy $h(a) = h(b) = 0$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If $f_\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon > 0$, is such that for all $t \in [a, b]$ one has

$$\sup_{s \in \{t, t+\sigma\}} |f_\epsilon(s)| \leq C \epsilon^{\alpha-1},$$

then

$$\int_a^b \frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} (f_\epsilon(t) h(t)) dt = o(\epsilon^{\alpha+\beta-1})$$

and

$$\epsilon \int_a^b O_{p_\epsilon}(f_\epsilon) O_{p'_\epsilon}(h) dt = o(\epsilon^{\alpha+\beta})$$

where O_{p_ϵ} and $O_{p'_\epsilon}$ are either \square_ϵ or \boxminus_ϵ .

3 Review of the Classical Noether's Theorem

There are several ways to prove the classical Noether's theorem. In this section we review one of those proofs.

We begin by formulating the fundamental problem of the calculus of variations: to minimize

$$I[q(\cdot)] = \int_a^b L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt \quad (8)$$

under given boundary conditions $q(a) = q_a$ and $q(b) = q_b$, and where $\dot{q} = \frac{dq}{dt}$. The Lagrangian $L : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be a C^1 -function with respect to all its arguments, and admissible functions $q(\cdot)$ are assumed to be C^2 -smooth.

Definition 12 (Invariance of (8)). The functional (8) is said to be invariant under the s -parameter group of infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{cases} \bar{t} = t + s\tau(t, q) + o(s), \\ \bar{q}(t) = q(t) + s\xi(t, q) + o(s), \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

if

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt = \int_{\bar{t}(t_a)}^{\bar{t}(t_b)} L(\bar{t}, \bar{q}(\bar{t}), \dot{\bar{q}}(\bar{t})) d\bar{t} \quad (10)$$

for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a, b]$.

We will denote by $\partial_i L$ the partial derivative of L with respect to its i -th argument, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem 13 (Necessary and sufficient condition of invariance). *If functional (8) is invariant under transformations (9), then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_1 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \tau + \partial_2 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \xi \\ & + \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot (\dot{\xi} - \dot{q}\dot{\tau}) + L(t, q, \dot{q}) \dot{\tau} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proof. Since (10) is to be satisfied for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b]$ of $[a, b]$, one can get rid off of the integral sign in (10) and write the equivalent equality

$$L(t, q, \dot{q}) = \left[L\left(t + s\tau + o(s), q + s\xi + o(s), \frac{\dot{q} + s\dot{\xi} + o(s)}{1 + s\dot{\tau} + o(s)}\right) \right] \frac{d\bar{t}}{dt}. \quad (12)$$

Equation (11) is obtained differentiating both sides of condition (12) with respect to s and then putting $s = 0$. \square

Definition 14 (Constant of motion). A quantity $C(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t))$, $t \in [a, b]$, is said to be a *constant of motion* if $\frac{d}{dt}C(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) = 0$ for all the solutions q of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\partial_3 L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) = \partial_2 L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)). \quad (13)$$

Theorem 15 (DuBois-Reymond necessary optimality condition). *If function q is a minimizer or maximizer of functional (8), then*

$$\partial_1 L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) = \frac{d}{dt} \{L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) - \partial_3 L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) \cdot \dot{q}(t)\}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. The conclusion follows by direct calculations using the Euler-Lagrange equation (13):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \{L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q}\} \\ &= \partial_1 L(t, q, \dot{q}) + \partial_2 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q} + \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \ddot{q} \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q} - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \ddot{q} \\ &= \partial_1 L(t, q, \dot{q}) + \dot{q} \cdot (\partial_2 L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q})) \\ &= \partial_1 L(t, q, \dot{q}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 16 (Noether's theorem). *If (8) is invariant under (9), then*

$$C(t, q, \dot{q}) = \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \xi(t, q) + (L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q}) \tau(t, q) \quad (15)$$

is a constant of motion.

Proof. To prove Noether's theorem we use the Euler-Lagrange equations (13) and the DuBois-Reymond necessary optimality condition (14) into the necessary and sufficient condition of invariance (11):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_1 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \tau + \partial_2 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \xi + \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot (\dot{\xi} - \dot{q} \dot{\tau}) + L(t, q, \dot{q}) \dot{\tau} \\ &= \partial_2 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \xi + \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{\xi} + \partial_1 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \tau \\ &\quad + \dot{\tau} (L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \xi + \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{\xi} + \frac{d}{dt} \{L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q}\} \tau \\ &\quad + \dot{\tau} (L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \{\partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \xi + (L(t, q, \dot{q}) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \dot{q}) \cdot \dot{q}) \tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Main Results: Non-differentiable Noether-type Theorems

The classical Noether's theorem is valid for extremals $q(\cdot)$ which are C^2 differentiable, as considered in Section 3. The biggest class where a Noether-type theorem has been proved is the class of Lipschitz functions [18]. In this work we prove a more general Noether-type theorem, valid for non-differentiable scale extremals.

4.1 Calculus of variations with scale derivatives

In [3] the calculus of variations with scale derivatives is introduced and respective Euler-Lagrange equations derived. In this section we obtain a formulation of Noether's theorem for the scale calculus of variations. The proof of our Noether's theorem is done in two steps: first we extend the DuBois-Reymond condition to problems with scale derivatives (Theorem 24); then, using this result, we obtain the scale/quantum Noether's theorem (Theorem 25).

The problem of the calculus of variations with scale derivatives is defined as

$$I[q(\cdot)] = \int_{a-\epsilon}^{b+\epsilon} L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) dt \longrightarrow \min \quad (16)$$

under given boundary conditions $q(a - \epsilon) = q_{a\epsilon}$ and $q(b + \epsilon) = q_{b\epsilon}$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $q(\cdot) \in H^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. The Lagrangian $L : [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is assumed to be a C^1 -function with respect to all its arguments satisfying

$$\|DL(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t))\| \leq K, \quad (17)$$

where K is a non-negative constant, D denotes the differential and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm for matrices (see [3]).

Remark 17. In the case of admissible differentiable functions $q(\cdot)$, problem (16) tends to problem (8) when ϵ tends to zero.

Remark 18. We need to assume $a - \epsilon \leq t \leq b + \epsilon$ in order to avoid problems with the definition of scale derivative in the boundaries of the interval.

Theorem 19 (Scale Euler-Lagrange equation – cf. [3]). *If q is a minimizer of problem (16), then q satisfy the following scale Euler-Lagrange equation:*

$$\partial_2 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) - \square_\epsilon \partial_3 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) = 0. \quad (18)$$

Definition 20 (Scale extremals). The solutions $q(t)$ of the scale Euler-Lagrange equation (18) are called *scale extremals*.

Definition 21 (cf. Definition 12). The functional (16) is said to be invariant under a s -parameter group of infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{cases} \bar{t} = t + s\tau(t, q) + o(s), \\ \bar{q}(t) = q(t) + s\xi(t, q) + o(s), \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$\tau, \xi \in H^\beta$, $\beta \geq \alpha 1_{[1/2, 1]} + (1 - \alpha) 1_{]0, 1/2[}$, if

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) dt = \int_{\bar{t}(t_a)}^{\bar{t}(t_b)} L(\bar{t}, \bar{q}(\bar{t}), \square_\epsilon \bar{q}(\bar{t})) d\bar{t} \quad (20)$$

for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$.

Theorem 22 establish a necessary and sufficient condition of invariance for (16). Condition (21) will be used in the proof of our Noether-type theorem.

Theorem 22 (cf. Theorem 13). *If functional (16) is invariant under the one-parameter group of transformations (19), then*

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} \left[\partial_1 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) \tau + \partial_2 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) \cdot \xi \right. \\ \left. + \partial_3 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) \cdot (\square_\epsilon \xi - \square_\epsilon q(t) \square_\epsilon \tau) \right] dt = 0 \quad (21)$$

for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$.

Proof. Equation (20) is equivalent to

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) dt \\ = \int_{t_a+s\tau}^{t_b+s\tau} L\left(t + s\tau + o(s), q + s\xi + o(s), \frac{\square_\epsilon q + s\square_\epsilon \xi + o(s)}{1 + s\square_\epsilon \tau + o(s)}\right) dt. \quad (22)$$

Differentiating both sides of equation (22) with respect to s , then putting $s = 0$, we obtain equality (21). \square

Definition 23 (Scale constants of motion). We say that quantity $C(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t))$ is a *scale constant of motion* if, and only if, $C(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) = \text{constant}$ along all the scale extremals $q(\cdot)$ (cf. Definition 20).

Theorem 24 generalizes the DuBois-Reymond necessary optimality condition (cf. Theorem 15) for problems of the calculus of variations with scale derivatives.

Theorem 24 (Scale DuBois-Reymond necessary condition). *If $q(\cdot)$ is a minimizer of problem (16), then it satisfy the following condition:*

$$\frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} \left\{ L\left(t, q, \frac{\square_\epsilon q}{\square t}\right) - \partial_3 L\left(t, q, \frac{\square_\epsilon q}{\square t}\right) \cdot \frac{\square_\epsilon q}{\square t} \right\} \\ = \partial_1 L\left(t, q, \frac{\square_\epsilon q}{\square t}\right) - \epsilon i (\square_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g) \quad (23)$$

where $f = \partial_3 L\left(t, q, \frac{\square_\epsilon q}{\square t}\right)$, $g = \frac{\square_\epsilon q}{\square t}$, $\boxminus f$ and $\boxminus g$ are the complex conjugate of $\square f$ and $\square g$ respectively.

Proof. The scale DuBois-Reymond necessary condition (23) follows from the linearity of the scale derivative operator, Theorems 6 and 10, and the scale

Euler-Lagrange equations (18):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \square_\epsilon \left\{ L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q \right\} \\
&= \partial_1 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) + \partial_2 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q + \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon \square_\epsilon q \\
&\quad - \square_\epsilon \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon \square_\epsilon q \\
&\quad - \epsilon i (\square_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g) \\
&= \partial_1 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) + \square_\epsilon q \cdot (\partial_2 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \square_\epsilon \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q)) \\
&\quad - \epsilon i (\square_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g) \\
&= \partial_1 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \epsilon i (\square_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \square_\epsilon f \square_\epsilon g - \boxminus_\epsilon f \boxminus_\epsilon g).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 25 establish an extension of Noether's theorem for problems of the calculus of variations with scale derivatives.

Theorem 25 (Scale Noether's theorem in Lagrangian form). *If functional (16) is invariant in the sense of Definition 21, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
C(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) &= \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \xi(t, q) \\
&\quad + \left(L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q \right) \tau(t, q) \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

is a scale constant of motion (cf. Definition 23).

Remark 26. If the admissible functions q are differentiable, the scale constant of motion (24) tends to (15) when we take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Noether's scale constant of motion (24) follows by using the scale DuBois-Raymond condition (23), the scale Euler-Lagrange equation (18) and Theorem 6 into the necessary and sufficient condition of invariance (21):

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{t_a}^{t_b} \left[\partial_1 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) \tau + \partial_2 L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) \cdot \xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot (\square_\epsilon \xi - \square_\epsilon q \square_\epsilon \tau) + L \square_\epsilon \tau - L \square_\epsilon \tau \right] dt \\
&= \int_{t_a}^{t_b} \left[\tau \square_\epsilon (L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \square_\epsilon \tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \xi \cdot \square_\epsilon \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) + \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon \xi \right] dt + R(\epsilon) \quad (25) \\
&= \int_{t_a}^{t_b} \frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} \left\{ \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \xi + (L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \tau \right\} dt \\
&\quad + R(\epsilon) + R'(\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

where $R(\epsilon)$ and $R'(\epsilon)$ are integrals with terms resulting from the application of formula (5) of Theorem 6. Taking into consideration condition (17) and Lemma 11, the integrals $R(\epsilon)$ and $R'(\epsilon)$ vanish. Thus, (25) simplify to

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} \frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} \left\{ \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \xi + (L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \tau \right\} dt = 0. \quad (26)$$

Using formula (6) and having in mind that (26) holds for an arbitrary $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$, we conclude that

$$L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \xi + (L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) - \partial_3 L(t, q, \square_\epsilon q) \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \tau = \text{constant}.$$

□

4.2 Scale optimal control

Theorem 25 gives a Lagrangian formulation of Noether's principle to the non-differentiable scale setting. Now we give a scale Hamiltonian formulation of Noether's principle for more general scale problems of optimal control (Theorem 36). The result is obtained as a corollary of Theorem 25.

We define the scale optimal control problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I[q(\cdot), u(\cdot)] &= \int_{a-\epsilon}^{b+\epsilon} L(t, q(t), u(t)) dt \longrightarrow \min, \\ \square_\epsilon q(t) &= \varphi(t, q(t), u(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

under the given initial condition $q(a - \epsilon) = q_{a_\epsilon}$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $q(\cdot), u(\cdot) \in H^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. The Lagrangian $L : [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the velocity vector $\varphi : [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ are assumed to be C^1 -functions with respect to all its arguments. Similarly as before, we assume that

$$\|DL(t, q(t), u(t))\| \leq K,$$

where K is a non-negative constant, D denotes the differential and $\|\cdot\|$ a classical norm of matrices.

Remark 27. In the particular case when $\varphi(t, q, u) = u$, (27) is reduced to the scale problem of the calculus of variations (16).

Remark 28. If functions q are differentiable, problem (27) tends to the classical problem of optimal control,

$$\begin{aligned} I[q(\cdot), u(\cdot)] &= \int_a^b L(t, q(t), u(t)) dt \longrightarrow \min, \\ \dot{q}(t) &= \varphi(t, q(t), u(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 29. If $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ is a minimizer of (27), then there exists a co-vector function $p(t) \in H^\alpha([a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the following conditions hold:

- the scale Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} \square_\epsilon q(t) = \partial_4 \mathcal{H}(t, q(t), u(t), p(t)), \\ \square_\epsilon p(t) = -\partial_2 \mathcal{H}(t, q(t), u(t), p(t)); \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

- the stationary condition

$$\partial_3 \mathcal{H}(t, q(t), u(t), p(t)) = 0; \quad (30)$$

where the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(t, q, u, p) = L(t, q, u) + p \cdot \varphi(t, q, u). \quad (31)$$

Remark 30. The first equation in the scale Hamiltonian system (29) is nothing more than the scale control system $\square_\epsilon q(t) = \varphi(t, q(t), u(t))$ given in the formulation of problem (27).

Remark 31. In classical mechanics p is called the *generalized momentum*. In the language of optimal control [14], p is known as the adjoint variable.

Definition 32. A triplet $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), p(\cdot))$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 29 will be called a *scale Pontryagin extremal*.

Remark 33. In the particular case when $\varphi(t, q, u) = u$, Theorem 29 reduces to Theorem 19: the stationary condition (30) gives $p = -\partial_3 L$ and the second equation in the scale Hamiltonian system (29) gives $\square_\epsilon p(t) = -\partial_2 L$. Comparing both equalities, one obtains the scale Euler-Lagrange equation (18): $\square_\epsilon \partial_3 L = \partial_2 L$. In other words, the scale Pontryagin extremals (Definition 32) are a generalization of the scale Euler-Lagrange extremals (Definition 20).

Proof. (of Theorem 29) Using the Lagrange multiplier rule, (27) is equivalent to the augmented problem

$$J[q(\cdot), u(\cdot), p(\cdot)] = \int_a^b [\mathcal{H}(t, q(t), u(t), p(t)) - p(t) \cdot \square_\epsilon q(t)] dt \longrightarrow \min. \quad (32)$$

The necessary optimality condition (29)-(30) is obtained from the Euler-Lagrange equations (18) applied to problem (32):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \square_\epsilon q} (\mathcal{H} - p \cdot \square_\epsilon q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} (\mathcal{H} - p \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \\ \frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \square_\epsilon u} (\mathcal{H} - p \cdot \square_\epsilon q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} (\mathcal{H} - p \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \\ \frac{\square_\epsilon}{\square t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \square_\epsilon p} (\mathcal{H} - p \cdot \square_\epsilon q) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (\mathcal{H} - p \cdot \square_\epsilon q) \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -\square_\epsilon p = \partial_2 \mathcal{H} \\ 0 = \partial_3 \mathcal{H} \\ 0 = \partial_4 \mathcal{H} - \square_\epsilon q \end{cases}$$

□

The notion of invariance for problem (27) is defined using the equivalent augmented problem (32).

Definition 34 (cf. Definition 21). The functional (32) is said to be invariant under the s -parameter group of infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{cases} \bar{t} = t + s\tau(t, q, u, p) + o(s), \\ \bar{q}(t) = q(t) + s\xi(t, q, u, p) + o(s), \\ \bar{u}(t) = u(t) + s\varrho(t, q, u, p) + o(s), \\ \bar{p}(t) = p(t) + s\varsigma(t, q, u, p) + o(s), \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

$\tau, \xi, \varrho, \varsigma \in H^\beta$, $\beta \geq \alpha 1_{[1/2, 1]} + (1 - \alpha) 1_{]0, 1/2[}$, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_a}^{t_b} [\mathcal{H}(t, q(t), u(t), p(t)) - p(t) \cdot \square_\epsilon q(t)] dt \\ &= \int_{\bar{t}(t_a)}^{\bar{t}(t_b)} [\mathcal{H}(\bar{t}, \bar{q}(\bar{t}), \bar{u}(\bar{t}), \bar{p}(\bar{t})) - \bar{p}(\bar{t}) \cdot \square_\epsilon \bar{q}(\bar{t})] d\bar{t} \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for any subinterval $[t_a, t_b] \subseteq [a - \epsilon, b + \epsilon]$.

Definition 35 (cf. Definition 23). A function $C(t, q(t), u(t), p(t))$ preserved along any scale Pontryagin extremal $(q(\cdot), u(\cdot), p(\cdot))$ of problem (27) is said to be a *scale constant of motion* for (27).

Theorem 36 gives a Noether-type theorem for scale optimal control problems (27).

Theorem 36 (Scale Noether's theorem in Hamiltonian form). *If we have invariance in the sense of Definition 34, then*

$$C(t, q(t), u(t), p(t)) = \mathcal{H}(t, q(t), u(t), p(t))\tau - p(t) \cdot \xi \quad (35)$$

is a scale constant of motion for (27).

Proof. The scale constant of motion (35) is obtained by applying Theorem 25 to problem (32). \square

Remark 37. For the scale problem of the calculus of variations (16) the Hamiltonian (31) takes the form $\mathcal{H} = L + p \cdot u$, with $u = \square_\epsilon q(t)$ and $p = -\partial_3 L$ (cf. Remark 33). In this case the scale constant of motion (35) reduces to (24).

5 Application: Scale Constants of Motion for Schrödinger Equations

In [3, §5] some fractional variants of the Schrödinger equation, with particular interest in quantum mechanics, are studied. It is proved that under certain conditions, solutions of both linear and nonlinear Schrödinger's equations coincide

with the extremals of certain functionals (16) of the scale calculus of variations. In this section we use our Noether theorem to find scale constants of motion for the problems studied in [3, §5]. In all the examples we use the computer program [10, 11]¹ to compute the symmetries (i.e. the invariance transformations (19)).

Example 38. Let us consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$2i\gamma m \left[-\frac{1}{\Psi} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial q} \right)^2 \left(i\gamma + \frac{a_\epsilon(t)}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{a_\epsilon(t)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial q^2} \right] = (U(q) + \alpha(q)) \Psi \quad (36)$$

where $m > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $U : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha(q)$ is an arbitrary continuous function, $q(t) \in H^{1/2}$, $\Psi(t, q)$ satisfy the condition

$$\frac{\square_\epsilon q(t)}{\square t} = -i2\gamma \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q}$$

and $a_\epsilon : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$a_\epsilon(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left((\Delta_\epsilon^+ q(t))^2 - (\Delta_\epsilon^- q(t))^2 \right) - i \left((\Delta_\epsilon^+ q(t))^2 + (\Delta_\epsilon^- q(t))^2 \right) \right].$$

It is shown in [3, Theorem 5.1] that the solutions $q(t)$ of (36) coincide with the Euler-Lagrange extremals of functional (16) with the Lagrangian

$$L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) = \frac{1}{2} m (\square_\epsilon q(t))^2 + U(q).$$

The functional

$$I[q(\cdot)] = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \left[m \left(-i2\gamma \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q} \right)^2 + 2U(q) \right] dt$$

is invariant in the sense of Definition 21 under the symmetries $(\tau, \xi) = (c, 0)$, where c is an arbitrary constant. It follows from our Theorem 25 that

$$-2m \left(\gamma \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q} \right)^2 + U(q) \quad (37)$$

is a scale constant of motion: (37) is preserved along all the solutions $q(t)$ of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (36).

Example 39. We now consider the following linear Schrödinger's equation:

$$i\bar{h} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\bar{h}^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial q^2} = U(q)\Psi \quad (38)$$

¹The software is available from the Maple Application Centre at http://www.maplesoft.com/applications/app_center_view.aspx?AID=1983.

where $\bar{h} = \frac{h}{2\pi}$, $m > 0$, $U : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, $\Psi(t, q)$ satisfy

$$\frac{\square_\epsilon q(t)}{\square t} = -i \frac{\bar{h}}{m} \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q}$$

and $q(t) \in H^{1/2}$ are such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\left((\Delta_\epsilon^+ q(t))^2 - (\Delta_\epsilon^- q(t))^2 \right) - i \left((\Delta_\epsilon^+ q(t))^2 + (\Delta_\epsilon^- q(t))^2 \right) \right] = -i \frac{\bar{h}}{m}.$$

In [3, Theorem 5.2] it is proved that the solutions of (38) coincide with Euler-Lagrange extremals of functional (16) with Lagrangian

$$L(t, q(t), \square_\epsilon q(t)) = \frac{1}{2} m (\square_\epsilon q(t))^2 + U(q).$$

It happens that functional

$$I[q(\cdot)] = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b \left[m \left(-i \frac{\bar{h}}{m} \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q} \right)^2 + 2U(q) \right] dt$$

is invariant in the sense of Definition 21 under the symmetries $(\tau, \xi) = (c, 0)$, where c is an arbitrary constant. It follows from our Theorem 25 that

$$-\frac{1}{2m} \left(\bar{h} \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q} \right)^2 + U(q) = -\frac{1}{8m} \left(\frac{h}{\pi} \frac{\partial \ln(\Psi(t, q))}{\partial q} \right)^2 + U(q) \quad (39)$$

is a scale constant of motion: expression (39) is constant along all the solutions $q(t)$ of the linear Schrödinger's equation (38).

Acknowledgements

Delfim F. M. Torres is grateful to the University of Pau, Academy of Bordeaux, for a one-month position of Invited Professor at the Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, France, where the present work was finished. The hospitality and the good working conditions at Pau are very acknowledged.

References

- [1] F. Ben Adda and J. Cresson, Quantum derivatives and the Schrödinger equation, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **19** (2004), no. 5, 1323–1334.
- [2] F. Ben Adda and J. Cresson, Fractional differential equations and the Schrödinger equation, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **161** (2005), no. 1, 323–345.
- [3] J. Cresson, Non-differentiable variational principles, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **307** (2005), no. 1, 48–64.

- [4] J. Cresson, Non-differentiable deformations of \mathbb{R}^n , Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **3** (2006), no. 7, 1395–1415.
- [5] J. Cresson and S. Darses, Théorème de Noether stochastique, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **344** (2007), no. 4, 259–264.
- [6] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Constants of motion for fractional action-like variational problems, Int. J. Appl. Math. **19** (2006), no. 1, 97–104.
- [7] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Nonconservative Noether’s theorem in optimal control, Int. J. Tomogr. Stat. **5** (2007), no. W07, 109–114.
- [8] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, A formulation of Noether’s theorem for fractional problems of the calculus of variations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **334** (2007), no. 2, 834–846.
- [9] G. S. F. Frederico and D. F. M. Torres, Fractional conservation laws in optimal control theory, Nonlinear Dynam., in press. Accepted: 1 Nov. 2007. Published online: 30 Nov. 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s11071-007-9309-z
- [10] P. D. F. Gouveia and D. F. M. Torres, Automatic computation of conservation laws in the calculus of variations and optimal control, Comput. Methods Appl. Math. **5** (2005), no. 4, 387–409.
- [11] P. D. F. Gouveia, D. F. M. Torres and E. A. M. Rocha, Symbolic computation of variational symmetries in optimal control, Control Cybernet. **35** (2006), no. 4, 831–849.
- [12] L. Nottale, The theory of scale relativity, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **7** (1992), no. 20, 4899–4936.
- [13] L. Nottale, The scale-relativity program, Chaos Solitons Fractals **10** (1999), no. 2-3, 459–468.
- [14] L. S. Pontryagin, V. G. Boltyanskii, R. V. Gamkrelidze and E. F. Mishchenko, *Selected works. Vol. 4*, Translated from the Russian by K. N. Trirogoff, Translation edited by L. W. Neustadt, Reprint of the 1962 English translation, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1986.
- [15] E. A. M. Rocha and D. F. M. Torres, Quadratures of Pontryagin extremals for optimal control problems, Control Cybernet. **35** (2006), no. 4, 947–963.
- [16] D. F. M. Torres, On the Noether theorem for optimal control, Eur. J. Control **8** (2002), no. 1, 56–63.
- [17] D. F. M. Torres, The role of symmetry in the regularity properties of optimal controls, in *Symmetry in nonlinear mathematical physics. Part 1, 2, 3*, 1488–1495, Natsīonal. Akad. Nauk Ukrāini, Īnst. Mat., Kiev, 2004.

- [18] D. F. M. Torres, Proper extensions of Noether's symmetry theorem for nonsmooth extremals of the calculus of variations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **3** (2004), no. 3, 491–500.
- [19] D. F. M. Torres, A Noether theorem on unimprovable conservation laws for vector-valued optimization problems in control theory, *Georgian Math. J.* **13** (2006), no. 1, 173–182.